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ince the advent of balloon angioplasty in the 1970s by Andreas Gruentzig, restenosis, or the excessive proliferation of smooth muscle cells into the intima, has been regarded as the primary limitation of percutaneous coronary intervention. The introduction of bare metal stents (BMS) in the 1990s reduced restenosis rates by eliminating elastic recoil and negative remodeling, and represented a significant advance. If one could escape the early risk of vessel narrowing within the first 12 months after implantation, long-term outcomes appeared to be favorable, with late increases in luminal diameter between 1 and 3 years.1 However, data from a series of reports, including 1 in this issue, suggest the cellular response to stenting is a complex and dynamic phenomenon, not detectable by traditional luminothraphy, that continues to evolve many years after stent placement and may be an important cause of late thrombotic events. These data enhance our understanding of how and why thrombosis within stents sometimes can occur late, many years after percutaneous coronary intervention.

More recently, we reported similar findings in a series of bare metal stent implants with comparable temporal associations.2 Stents ≥ 2 years demonstrated no evidence of neoatherosclerosis, whereas there was a progressive increase in its incidence in stents ≥ 2 but ≤ 6 years (22%), and in those > 6 years old (42%). It is important to note that while these changes were present in a fair number of stents, the incidence of an unstable type of plaque morphologies were much lower, representing 4% of all stents examined, with none present in stents ≤ 2 years old. The latter data are consistent with the reported clinical late thrombotic event rates after BMS placement, which hover in the range of 0.1% per year.3

While data from autopsy findings can lend insights into problems such as these, issues of selection bias commonly are raised, calling into question the representative nature of such investigations. This is where clinical studies, such as the one conducted by Yamaji et al in this issue of Circulation: Cardiovascular Interventions, complement and enhance information obtained from autopsy. The authors examined thrombectomy specimens from a series of 135 patients undergoing angiography and thrombectomy for definite stent thrombosis after BMS placement. Extracted thrombi (under negative pressure) were histopathologically evaluated and compared according to the timing of stent thrombosis (ie, early ≤ 30 days, late > 30 days, ≤ 365 days, and very late > 365 days). Fragments of atherosclerotic plaques, including foamy macrophages, cholesterol crystals, and thin fibrous caps, were seen more commonly in cases of early and very late stent thrombosis beyond 3 years, as opposed to late stent thrombosis, and were similar to what was retrieved in acute coronary syndrome cases. These results suggest that disruption of neoatherosclerotic plaque may be an important cause for late, and especially, very late thrombotic events after BMS placement.

While these results also seem to suggest different causation for late and very late thrombotic events after BMS placement, some limitations of the present analysis also must be pointed out. Obviously, the nature of the thrombectomy technique does not allow us to determine the exact location where extracted plaque elements originated. Rupture of de novo atherosclerotic plaque both proximal and distal to the stented segment cannot be excluded as the source of thrombectomy material (Figure 1). Indeed, previous pathology studies have suggested this as an important mechanism of late bare metal stent thrombosis.7 In addition, some inconsistencies with previous autopsy data of Nakazawa et al are also present. Yamaji et al report that 10% of stents with evidence of late (ie, > 30 days and ≤ 365 days) thrombosis demonstrated neoatherosclerotic changes with advanced plaque morphologies. Stents implanted > 365 days but ≤ 3 years demonstrated no evidence
of neoatherosclerosis, while those >3 years again showed neoatherosclerotic change. In contrast, Nakazawa et al\textsuperscript{4} reported no evidence of neoatherosclerosis or unstable plaque morphology in any BMS implanted ≤2 years of age (Figure 2). A potential explanation for this discrepancy is the possibility that what was sampled by Yamaji was in fact either fragments of native plaque (from negative pressure) underlying the stented segment, or more likely plaque from the proximal or distal nonstented segments of the artery (Figure 1). Only intravascular imaging prior to thrombectomy would have settled this issue.

What seems more reliable in this analysis is the data that suggests the incidence of neoatherosclerosis increases with age of the stent, especially after the 3-year mark. These findings are concordant with those of Nakazawa\textsuperscript{4} and Inoue,\textsuperscript{3} and suggest that thrombotic events occurring 3 years after BMS placement seem more likely to be attributable to neoatherosclerotic change (Figure 2). Because neoatherosclerosis is rarely present in BMS less than 1 year old, late thrombotic events occurring in this time period are likely caused by other factors. Farb et al\textsuperscript{7} described pathological mechanisms of late bare metal stent thrombosis occurring after 1 to 11.9 months. Purported mechanisms were bifurcation stenting, stent placement within an existing necrotic core rich lesion, extensive plaque prolapse, plaque rupture proximal or distal to the stented segment (Figure 1), and occlusive restenosis. While in the study by Yamaji\textsuperscript{6} lesion characteristics were not different between cases of late and very late stent thrombosis, the overall numbers in this series are small, and it seems likely that at least some of these mechanisms could account for the differences in thrombectomy aspirates seen.

This and other studies also shed light on recent data regarding late thrombotic events after drug eluting stents (DES), now the dominant device used in coronary interven-

---

**Figure 1.** Coronary luminal thrombosis proximal to a patent bare metal stent (BMS) and within a BMS. A through D. Radiograph and histological sections (Movat pentachrome staining) from a 42-year-old man with a BMS (NIR stent) implanted in proximal left anterior descending artery 1 year antemortem, who died suddenly. A. Radiograph shows an NIR stent implanted in the mildly calcified vessel. Note moderate luminal narrowing (50% diameter stenosis) proximal to the stent. B. Focal plaque fissure and thrombus (Th) with moderate luminal narrowing proximal to the stent. B', High-power of the boxed image shows the site of plaque fissure where the thrombus originated. C. Large nonocclusive platelet-rich Th at the interface between the proximal end of the stent and the nonstented arterial segment, which seems to be propagated from the site of plaque fissure. D. The proximal site of the stent shows well apposed struts with mild neointimal growth and underlying calcification, but no evidence of in-stent plaque rupture. E. A 43-year-old man with BMS (Multi-Link Zeta) implanted 61 months before presenting with acute myocardial infarction. Note total thrombotic occlusion of the stent with a large necrotic core and overlying disrupted fibrous cap. F. High power of the boxed area in E showing plaque rupture and overlying Th.
tion. While late DES thrombosis has been most associated with delayed healing, described as incomplete endothelialization of the stented segment,8 more recent data seem to support the contention that neoatherosclerosis with neointimal rupture is another important cause. Pathological studies suggest that atherosclerotic change occurs more quickly in DES versus BMS (420 days versus 2160 days), and thus neoatherosclerosis must be considered as a cause of DES thrombosis even in stents 2 years of age.4 Why DES neoatherosclerosis is accelerated remains unknown, but it is tempting to speculate that dysfunctional porous endothelium contributes heavily to this process. Animal studies support that incomplete maturation of the regenerated endothelium with poorly formed cell junctions are more frequently observed in DES as compared with BMS.9 In 50 DES restenotic lesions on average 32 months old, Kang et al10 recently showed that 52% had in-stent features of vulnerable plaques and 58% had evidence of neointimal rupture, as detected by optical coherence tomography and intravascular ultrasound.

Multiple studies have now confirmed that first generation DES demonstrate a continued rate of very late stent thrombosis (0.26% to 0.4%/yr), with little evidence of a plateau up to 5 years.11,12 To what extent these events are caused by neoatherosclerosis remains unknown, but certainly deserves further investigation.

Collectively, these data reinforce the need to continue to understand the complexity of the biological responses to intravascular devices, especially as technology advances faster than potential adverse consequences can be anticipated. As in the process of native atherosclerosis, inflammation seems to play an important role in long-term reaction to stent placement, with significant clinical consequences for some patients, especially restenosis. Importantly, the factors that predispose individuals to neoatherosclerotic change remain unknown, but endothelial incompetence and activation may be involved. What is clear is that we need to understand better how to minimize this type of adverse device related consequence in order to improve the safety and durability of intracoronary stents.
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Figure 2. Incidence of stent thrombosis and neoatherosclerosis following bare metal stent (BMS) implantation in CVPath autopsy cases. A. Early stent thrombosis (ST) was identified in 20 of 38 lesions from sudden death cases (52.6%, light and dark blue bar), with duration of implant 30 days or less where plaque prolapse was responsible for early ST in 12 lesions (31.6%, dark blue bar). For lesions with duration of implant >30 days and ≤1 year (n=53), late ST was determined in 1 case (1.9%, red bar); very late ST was not identified (green circle) in cases with duration of implant >1 year and ≤3 years (n=65), whereas for lesions with duration of implant >3 years (n=79), 4 cases (5.1%, purple bar) showed ST, which were all from in-stent plaque rupture secondary to neoatherosclerosis. B. Neoatherosclerosis was not observed in lesions with duration of implant 1 year or less, while fibroatheroma was detected in 2 lesions (3.1%), with duration of implant >1 year and ≤3 years. In lesions with duration of implant >3 years, 5 showed foamy macrophage clusters (6.3%), 17 had fibroatheromas (21.5%), 3 had thin-cap fibroatheromas (3.8%), and 4 had plaque rupture (5.1%) with luminal thrombus.
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