

How Much Is Enough ... What More Is Needed?

Kapiledo Lotun, MD; Karl B. Kern, MD

Optimal care for the fortunate few resuscitated after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest remains uncertain. An aggressive approach to simultaneously cool and cath such patients on arrival at the hospital is favored by some interventional cardiologists.^{1–11} Others remain unconvinced that such therapy is beneficial or needed.^{12–14}

See Article by Waldo et al

See Article by Geri et al

See Article by Vyas et al

See Article by Stær-Jensen et al

In this issue of *Circulation: Cardiovascular Interventions* are 4 reports regarding immediate coronary angiography and its role in postresuscitation care.^{15–18}

Vyas et al report on 4029 postcardiac arrest patients from the Cardiac Arrest Registry to Enhance Survival (CARES) database between January 2010 and December 2013.¹⁵ CARES is a large national prospective emergency medical systems-based registry involving over 800 emergency medical service agencies in 21 states with a catchment area of over 80 million people. The emphasis of this registry has been in-field cardiac arrest and resuscitation variables, but some postresuscitation variables were added in 2010 as optional data elements, including data on the performance of coronary angiography post arrest. A small number of patients treated at hospitals without cardiac catheterization facilities were excluded. The final study population consisted of 4029 out-of-hospital cardiac arrest patients resuscitated from an initial rhythm of ventricular fibrillation or pulseless ventricular tachycardia and admitted to 374 hospitals in the United States. This is the largest cohort published to date which examines the association of coronary angiography post arrest and survival. Nearly half (48.5%) underwent early coronary angiography, as directed by the attending cardiologist's preference, though no other information was provided about the decision for or against angiography. Because the database concentrates on resuscitation variables, information about the postresuscitation electrocardiographic presence of ST-segment-elevation

was not available on about half of the study patients. Hence, the authors could provide no meaningful data for the patient subgroups with and without ST-segment-elevation. However, because of the large size of their database, the authors were able to perform a propensity-matched analysis that has not been possible in any previous report. This statistical approach is helpful to limit the selection or indication bias that is typical with cohort studies examining the association between early coronary angiography post arrest and survival. Vyas et al, using this propensity-matched format, found that early coronary angiography was associated with a 50% increase in survival to hospital discharge (odds ratio 1.52 [95% confidence interval 1.28–1.80]) and favorable neurological outcome (odds ratio 1.47 [95% confidence interval 1.25–1.71]). This confirms several earlier reports that were not able to use propensity matching.^{3–11} Additional statistical analyses found that coronary revascularization was the main mediator of the survival benefit associated with early coronary angiography. This is an important insight. The real benefit of early coronary angiography is to identify who needs timely coronary revascularization. The most pronounced example is the patient with an acutely occluded coronary artery that results in out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. Not only do such patients need to be resuscitated and reestablish spontaneous systemic circulation, but they also need prompt coronary reperfusion to salvage myocardial tissue and function. The definition of early coronary angiography used by Vyas in this report was broad, including all those who had catheterization within 24 hours of admission. Given this broad definition, it is even more impressive that coronary angiography and revascularization were associated with good outcomes and begs the question what if...what if such was truly early as in <2 hours from admission?

The second report in this issue is from the well-known investigative group in Paris, which has published extensively on the value of coronary angiography post cardiac arrest.^{8,19,20} Geri et al report here on a 14-year cohort (2000–2013) of 1722 consecutive nontraumatic, out-of-hospital cardiac arrest patients, who were resuscitated and subjected to a local policy of early coronary angiography for all patients without an obvious noncardiac cause for their cardiac arrest.¹⁶ They evaluated survival, both short-term (30 days) and long-term (10 years), among those who did not receive coronary angiography (n=628), those receiving coronary angiography but not revascularization (n=615), and those undergoing coronary angiography and subsequent revascularization (n=479). Initial evaluation showed coronary angiography, and coronary angiography revascularization was associated with progressively increased survival rates at 30 days and at 10 years. Propensity-score matching further confirmed this association with both short- and long-term outcomes. This second report in this issue

The opinions expressed in this article are not necessarily those of the editors or of the American Heart Association.

From the Sarver Heart Center, University of Arizona, Tucson.

Correspondence to Karl B. Kern, MD, Professor of Medicine, Division of Cardiology, Sarver Heart Center, University of Arizona, 1501 N. Campbell Ave, Tucson, AZ 85724. E-mail kernk@email.arizona.edu
(*Circ Cardiovasc Interv.* 2015;8:e003075.

DOI: 10.1161/CIRCINTERVENTIONS.115.003075.)

© 2015 American Heart Association, Inc.

Circ Cardiovasc Interv is available at
<http://circinterventions.ahajournals.org>

DOI: 10.1161/CIRCINTERVENTIONS.115.003075

is the largest single-center experience reported to date and again, because of the large number of patients, was able to do propensity matching to help overcome some of the inherent indication biases of nonrandomized cohort studies. Together these 2 reports add a total of 5751 patients to the published experience examining the use of coronary angiography post arrest, which essentially doubles the prior experience.² Even more importantly, both have raised the bar of evidence by using propensity-score matching and, as expected, have shown slightly less impressive odds ratios or hazard ratios, but still statistically significant differences in favor of early coronary angiography and revascularization. The report from France shows that this association between coronary angiography and intervention with improved survival is maintained for at least 10 years.

The third article in this issue by Waldo et al attempts to derive a risk stratification schema to identify the presence of an acute coronary lesion among those resuscitated from cardiac arrest (both out-of-hospital and in-hospital) and having coronary angiography.¹⁷ The heterogeneity of cardiac arrest patients makes it difficult to predict the presence of coronary artery disease and its implication in cardiac arrest. The recognition that coronary artery disease is often the precursor to cardiac arrest has led to its inclusion in the guidelines for emergent angiography and revascularization. Unfortunately, all cardiac arrests are not necessarily cardiac in origin, and thus, refining the set of patient who will need emergent angiography is the next step in the management of these patients. Waldo et al make a valiant effort to study these patients and come up with a model to stratify who will likely have an acute coronary lesion and benefit from emergent angiography. It is a good beginning and complements the prior proposal of Sideras et al,²¹ but unfortunately still falls somewhat short of what is needed for clinical decision-making. Their point system with a minimum of 1 and maximum of 5 includes a history of angina (1 point), a history of heart failure (1 point), a shockable rhythm (1 point), and the presence of a ST-segment–elevation myocardial infarction (2 points). They conclude that >1 point is highly suggestive of presence of an acute culprit lesion.

The fourth report published in this issue is an unselective, consecutive series of resuscitated, out-of-hospital cardiac arrest patients undergoing immediate coronary angiography by Staer-Jensen and the Oslo University group.¹⁸ They examine whether the first postresuscitation ECG is useful in selecting which patient needs immediate coronary angiography. They found that 1 out of 7 postresuscitated patients without ST elevation had an acutely occluded coronary. They also showed that while 31% of those with VF/VT had an occluded coronary, so did 22% (ie, 1 out of 5) with PEA or asystole. They concluded that lack of ST-segment–elevation on the initial postresuscitation ECG does not identify who should and should not undergo immediate coronary angiography, and though only a minority of such patients have an acutely occluded coronary, the number is not trivial (somewhere between 1 out of 5 and 1 out of 7) per their report.

Do we now have enough information to know how to best treat the postarrest patient, particularly those without ST-segment–elevation?

It seems to us that the ongoing debate and uncertainty stems from the ambiguity about who benefits from early coronary angiography after resuscitation from cardiac arrest. We continue to believe that it is all patients with an acutely occluded epicardial coronary artery. Cardiac arrest is the initial presentation of coronary artery disease in a substantial proportion of cardiac patients. We agree with Geri et al,¹⁶ as well as with Staer-Jensen et al,¹⁸ that the surface ECG is a poor postarrest predictor of an acutely occluded coronary. Attempts to identify which postarrest patients would specifically benefit from an early coronary angiography, including the schematic reported here by Waldo et al, still require validation in separate populations from which the risk stratification system was developed.¹⁷ Forty percent of the population from whom the Waldo schematic is developed had in-hospital cardiac arrest, where the pathogenesis of cardiac arrest is less likely to be acute coronary ischemia. Furthermore, the reliability of obtaining a history of angina or heart failure from a comatose postarrest patient arriving by ambulance without family members may be difficult. The Waldo model also relies exclusively on the concept that an acute culprit lesion is responsible for cardiac arrest. Though we agree this is the most common scenario, the concept of ischemic burden and global ischemia in patients with multiple lesions as a cause of cardiac arrest, rather than an acute culprit, will be unaccounted for in this model.

Vyas et al note in their analysis that the association between early cath and better outcomes did not hold up for those without ST-segment–elevation.¹⁵ However, they note in their methodology that in their attempt to propensity match such patients, over 62% of such patients did not have any information concerning their ECG post resuscitation. Exclusion of such a large proportion of their population makes this conclusion seem premature. Geri et al note that their local practice does not use the postresuscitation ECG in selecting who should have early coronary angiography and that they continue to think all postarrest patients without an obvious non-cardiac cause should undergo early coronary angiography.¹⁶ However, both studies agreed that the value of early coronary angiography is in providing early revascularization, that is, generally immediate percutaneous coronary intervention.

The report by Staer-Jensen reaffirms that a significant minority of the post resuscitated without ST elevation have a coronary lesion, including an acutely occluded coronary artery requiring immediate revascularization for optimal long-term outcomes.¹⁸

Both the European Society of Cardiology and the American Heart Association, combined with the Foundation of the American College of Cardiology, have strongly recommended that postarrest patients with ST-segment–elevation should undergo immediate coronary angiography at admission, whether comatose or awake (Class 1 recommendation).^{22,23} The European Society of Cardiology guidelines also recommend early coronary angiography be considered for those without ST-segment–elevation (Class 2A recommendation), though the American Heart Association/American College of Cardiology guidelines are silent on this issue. Recently, the European Association for Percutaneous Cardiovascular Interventions Stent for Life group published an algorithm for considering early coronary angiography for the postarrest

patient without ST-segment–elevation. They suggest a short stop in the Emergency Department to further evaluate for non-cardiac causes, but if negative, then proceeding without further delay (within 2 hours) with coronary angiography.²⁴ The Interventional Council of the American College of Cardiology has just published an alternative approach recommending early coronary angiography for those post arrest without ST-segment–elevation, outlining unfavorable characteristics that could be considered before proceeding to the cath laboratory.²⁵

We have also published 2 cohort series from the International Cardiac Arrest Registry (INTCAR) Cardiology subgroup,^{26,27} suggesting that early coronary angiography performed in postarrest patients without ST-segment–elevation does increase survival and favorable neurological outcome and that the angiographic findings in this patient subgroup may explain these results. We found in our series that one in 4 patients without ST-segment–elevation after cardiac arrest has an acutely occluded coronary at angiography.²⁷ Timely reperfusion, typically with percutaneous coronary intervention, not only saves myocardium, but should also improve survival.

With such guidelines, previously published series, and now these 4 new reports in this issue of *Circulation: Cardiovascular Interventions*, what more do we need before we embrace this postresuscitation concept of early coronary angiography?

Despite the consistency of these cohort studies, the cardiology interventional community seems to not only want, but even demand, a randomized controlled trial of early coronary angiography post arrest before it is willing to fully accept this approach.²⁸ The good news is that such studies are underway. Two pilot randomized controlled trials have either just begun or will start in the next few months, one in Europe and one in the United States. The Direct or Subacute Coronary Angiography for Out-of-Hospital Cardiac Arrest (DISCO) trial (NCT02309151) is underway in Scandinavia, and the multicentered Pilot Randomized Clinical Trial of Early Coronary Angiography Versus No Early Coronary Angiography for Post-Cardiac Arrest Patients Without ECG ST Segment Elevation (PEARL) trial (NCT02387398) is just about to begin in the United States. Though both trials are admittedly small, with anticipated randomization of ≈300 patients each, the organizers have prospectively communicated to make them reasonably similar to maximize the opportunity for combining results in a potential meta-analysis.

In a few years, we will be able to stop asking “do we have enough data” and “are we there now.”²⁸ Hopefully, then we can begin to move forward as an interventional community in providing the best possible postcardiac arrest care to all patients fortunate enough to be resuscitated from out-of-hospital cardiac arrest.

Disclosures

None.

References

1. Neumar RW, Nolan JP, Adrie C, Aibiki M, Berg RA, Böttiger BW, Callaway C, Clark RS, Geocadin RG, Jauch EC, Kern KB, Laurent I, Longstreth WT Jr, Merchant RM, Morley P, Morrison LJ, Nadkarni V, Peberdy MA, Rivers EP, Rodriguez-Nunez A, Sellke FW, Spaulding C, Sunde K, Vanden Hoek T. Post-cardiac arrest syndrome: epidemiology, pathophysiology, treatment, and prognostication. A consensus statement from the International Liaison Committee on Resuscitation (American Heart Association, Australian and New Zealand Council on Resuscitation, European Resuscitation Council, Heart and Stroke Foundation of Canada, InterAmerican Heart Foundation, Resuscitation Council of Asia, and the Resuscitation Council of Southern Africa); the American Heart Association Emergency Cardiovascular Care Committee; the Council on Cardiovascular Surgery and Anesthesia; the Council on Cardiopulmonary, Perioperative, and Critical Care; the Council on Clinical Cardiology; and the Stroke Council. *Circulation*. 2008;118:2452–2483. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.108.190652.
2. Kern KB. Optimal treatment of patients surviving out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv*. 2012;5:597–605. doi: 10.1016/j.jcin.2012.01.017.
3. Sunde K, Pytte M, Jacobsen D, Mangschau A, Jensen LP, Smedsrud C, Draegni T, Steen PA. Implementation of a standardised treatment protocol for post resuscitation care after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *Resuscitation*. 2007;73:29–39. doi: 10.1016/j.resuscitation.2006.08.016.
4. Gorjup V, Radsel P, Kocjancic ST, Erzen D, Noc M. Acute ST-elevation myocardial infarction after successful cardiopulmonary resuscitation. *Resuscitation*. 2007;72:379–385. doi: 10.1016/j.resuscitation.2006.07.013.
5. Garot P, Lefevre T, Eltchaninoff H, Morice MC, Tamion F, Abry B, Lesault PF, Le Tarrec JY, Pougès C, Margenet A, Monchi M, Laurent I, Dumas P, Garot J, Louvard Y. Six-month outcome of emergency percutaneous coronary intervention in resuscitated patients after cardiac arrest complicating ST-elevation myocardial infarction. *Circulation*. 2007;115:1354–1362. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.106.657619.
6. Lettieri C, Savonitto S, De Servi S, Guagliumi G, Belli G, Repetto A, Piccaluga E, Politi A, Ettori F, Castiglioni B, Fabbicocchi F, De Cesare N, Sangiorgi G, Musumeci G, Onofri M, D’Urbano M, Pirelli S, Zanini R, Klugmann S; LombardIMA Study Group. Emergency percutaneous coronary intervention in patients with ST-elevation myocardial infarction complicated by out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: early and medium-term outcome. *Am Heart J*. 2009;157:569–575.e1. doi: 10.1016/j.ahj.2008.10.018.
7. Radsel P, Knafelj R, Kocjancic S, Noc M. Angiographic characteristics of coronary disease and postresuscitation electrocardiograms in patients with aborted cardiac arrest outside a hospital. *Am J Cardiol*. 2011;108:634–638. doi: 10.1016/j.amjcard.2011.04.008.
8. Dumas F, Cariou A, Manzo-Silberman S, Grimaldi D, Vivien B, Rosencher J, Empana JP, Carli P, Mira JP, Jouven X, Spaulding C. Immediate percutaneous coronary intervention is associated with better survival after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: insights from the PROCAT (Parisian Region Out of hospital Cardiac Arrest) registry. *Circ Cardiovasc Interv*. 2010;3:200–207. doi: 10.1161/CIRCINTERVENTIONS.109.913665.
9. Cronier P, Vignon P, Bouferrache K, Aegerter P, Charron C, Templier F, Castro S, El Mahmoud R, Lory C, Pichon N, Dubourg O, Vieillard-Baron A. Impact of routine percutaneous coronary intervention after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest due to ventricular fibrillation. *Crit Care*. 2011;15:R122. doi: 10.1186/cc10227.
10. Stub D, Hengel C, Chan W, Jackson D, Sanders K, Dart AM, Hilton A, Pellegrino V, Shaw JA, Duffy SJ, Bernard S, Kaye DM. Usefulness of cooling and coronary catheterization to improve survival in out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *Am J Cardiol*. 2011;107:522–527. doi: 10.1016/j.amjcard.2010.10.011.
11. Mooney MR, Unger BT, Boland LL, Burke MN, Kebed KY, Graham KJ, Henry TD, Katsiyannis WT, Satterlee PA, Sendelbach S, Hodges JS, Parham WM. Therapeutic hypothermia after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: evaluation of a regional system to increase access to cooling. *Circulation*. 2011;124:206–214. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.110.986257.
12. Anyfantakis ZA, Baron G, Aubry P, Himbert D, Feldman LJ, Juliard JM, Ricard-Hibon A, Burnod A, Cokkinos DV, Steg PG. Acute coronary angiographic findings in survivors of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *Am Heart J*. 2009;157:312–318. doi: 10.1016/j.ahj.2008.09.016.
13. Skowronski GA. Pro/Con debate: cardiac arrest survivors need proof of neurological function before percutaneous coronary intervention. *Crit Care Resusc*. 2007;9:297–298.
14. Bangalore S, Hochman JS. A routine invasive strategy for out-of-hospital cardiac arrest survivors: are we there yet? *Circ Cardiovasc Interv*. 2010;3:197–199. doi: 10.1161/CIRCINTERVENTIONS.110.957241.
15. Vyas A, Chan PS, Cram P, Nallamothu BK, McNally B, Girotra S. Early coronary angiography and survival after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *Circ Cardiovasc Interv*. 2015;8:e002321. doi: 10.1161/CIRCINTERVENTIONS.114.002321.
16. Geri G, Dumas F, Bougouin W, Varenne O, Daviaud F, Pène F, Lamhaut L, Chiche J-D, Spaulding C, Mira J-P, Empana J-P, Cariou

- A. Immediate percutaneous coronary intervention is associated with improved short- and long-term survival after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *Circ Cardiovasc Interv.* 2015;8:e002303. doi: 10.1161/CIRCINTERVENTIONS.114.002303.
17. Waldo SW, Chang L, Strom JB, O'Brien C, Pomerantsev E, Yeh RW. Predicting the presence of an acute coronary lesion among patients resuscitated from cardiac arrest. *Circ Cardiovasc Interv.* 2015;8:e002198. doi: 10.1161/CIRCINTERVENTIONS.114.002198.
 18. Stær-Jensen H, Nakstad ER, Fossum E, Mangschau A, Eritsland J, Drægning T, Jacobsen D, Sunde K, Anderson GØ. Post-resuscitation ECG for selection of patients for immediate coronary angiography in out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *Circ Cardiovasc Interv.* 2015;8:e002784. doi: 10.1161/CIRCINTERVENTIONS.115.002784.
 19. Spaulding CM, Joly LM, Rosenberg A, Monchi M, Weber SN, Dhainaut JF, Carli P. Immediate coronary angiography in survivors of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *N Engl J Med.* 1997;336:1629–1633. doi: 10.1056/NEJM199706053362302.
 20. Dumas F, White L, Stubbs BA, Cariou A, Rea TD. Long-term prognosis following resuscitation from out of hospital cardiac arrest: role of percutaneous coronary intervention and therapeutic hypothermia. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2012;60:21–27. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2012.03.036.
 21. Sideris G, Voicu S, Dillinger JG, Stratiev V, Logeart D, Broche C, Vivien B, Brun PY, Deye N, Capan D, Aout M, Megarbane B, Baud FJ, Henry P. Value of post-resuscitation electrocardiogram in the diagnosis of acute myocardial infarction in out-of-hospital cardiac arrest patients. *Resuscitation.* 2011;82:1148–1153. doi: 10.1016/j.resuscitation.2011.04.023.
 22. Steg PhG, James SK, Atar D, Badano LP, Lundqvist CB, Borger MA, Di Mario C, Dickstein K, Ducrocq G, Fernandez-Aviles F, Gershlick AH, Giannuzzi P, Halvorsen S, Huber K, Juni P, Kastrati A, Knuuti J, Lenzen MJ, Mahaffey KW, Valgimigli M, van't Hof A, Widimsky P, Zahger D. ESC guidelines for the management of acute myocardial infarction in patients presenting with ST-segment elevation. The Task Force on the Management of ST-segment Elevation Acute Myocardial Infarction of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). *Eur Heart J.* 2012;33:2569–2619.
 23. O'Gara PT, Kushner FG, Ascheim DD, Casey DE Jr, Chung MK, de Lemos JA, Ettinger SM, Fang JC, Fesmire FM, Franklin BA, Granger CB, Krumholz HM, Linderbaum JA, Morrow DA, Newby LK, Ornato JP, Ou N, Radford MJ, Tamis-Holland JE, Tommaso CL, Tracy CM, Woo YJ, Zhao DX. 2013 ACCF/AHA guideline for the management of ST-elevation myocardial infarction: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. *Circulation.* 2013;127:e369–e684.
 24. Noc M, Fajadet J, Lassen JF, Kala P, MacCarthy P, Olivecrona GK, Windecker S, Spaulding C; European Association for Percutaneous Cardiovascular Interventions (EAPCI); Stent for Life (SFL) Group. Invasive coronary treatment strategies for out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: a consensus statement from the European association for percutaneous cardiovascular interventions (EAPCI)/stent for life (SFL) groups. *EuroIntervention.* 2014;10:31–37. doi: 10.4244/EIJV10I1A7.
 25. Rab T, Kern KB, Tamis-Holland JE, Henry TD, McDaniel M, Dickert NW, Cigarroa JE, Keadey M, Ramee S; Interventional Council, American College of Cardiology. Cardiac arrest: a treatment algorithm for emergent invasive cardiac procedures in the resuscitated comatose patient. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2015;66:62–73. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2015.05.009.
 26. Hollenbeck RD, McPherson JA, Mooney MR, Unger BT, Patel NC, McMullan PW Jr, Hsu CH, Seder DB, Kern KB. Early cardiac catheterization is associated with improved survival in comatose survivors of cardiac arrest without STEMI. *Resuscitation.* 2014;85:88–95. doi: 10.1016/j.resuscitation.2013.07.027.
 27. Kern KB, Lotun K, Patel N, Mooney MR, Hollenbeck RD, McPherson JA, McMullan PW, Unger B, Hsu CH, Seder DB; INTCAR-Cardiology Registry. Outcomes of comatose cardiac arrest survivors with and without ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction: importance of coronary angiography. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv.* 2015;8:1031–1040. doi: 10.1016/j.jcin.2015.02.021.
 28. Anderson RD. Are we there yet?: Should all comatose cardiac arrest survivors go to the cath lab? *JACC Cardiovasc Interv.* 2015;8:1041–1043. doi: 10.1016/j.jcin.2015.05.004.

KEY WORDS: Editorials ■ cardiopulmonary resuscitation ■ coronary angiography ■ heart arrest ■ myocardial reperfusion ■ resuscitation

How Much Is Enough: ... What More Is Needed? Kapiledo Lotun and Karl B Kern

Circ Cardiovasc Interv. 2015;8:

doi: 10.1161/CIRCINTERVENTIONS.115.003075

Circulation: Cardiovascular Interventions is published by the American Heart Association, 7272 Greenville Avenue, Dallas, TX 75231

Copyright © 2015 American Heart Association, Inc. All rights reserved.

Print ISSN: 1941-7640. Online ISSN: 1941-7632

The online version of this article, along with updated information and services, is located on the World Wide Web at:

<http://circinterventions.ahajournals.org/content/8/10/e003075>

Permissions: Requests for permissions to reproduce figures, tables, or portions of articles originally published in *Circulation: Cardiovascular Interventions* can be obtained via RightsLink, a service of the Copyright Clearance Center, not the Editorial Office. Once the online version of the published article for which permission is being requested is located, click Request Permissions in the middle column of the Web page under Services. Further information about this process is available in the [Permissions and Rights Question and Answer](#) document.

Reprints: Information about reprints can be found online at:
<http://www.lww.com/reprints>

Subscriptions: Information about subscribing to *Circulation: Cardiovascular Interventions* is online at:
<http://circinterventions.ahajournals.org/subscriptions/>