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Background—The mechanisms underlying pulmonary arterial hypertension (PAH) are multifactorial. The efficacy of pulmonary artery denervation (PADN) for idiopathic PAH treatment has been evaluated. This study aimed to analyze the hemodynamic, functional, and clinical responses to PADN in patients with PAH of different causes.

Methods and Results—Between April 2012 and April 2014, 66 consecutive patients with a resting mean pulmonary arterial pressure ≥25 mm Hg treated with PADN were prospectively followed up. Target drugs were discontinued after the PADN procedure. Hemodynamic response and 6-minute walk distance were repeatedly measured within the 1 year post PADN follow-up. The clinical end point was the occurrence of PAH-related events at the 1-year follow-up. There were no PADN-related complications. Hemodynamic success (defined as the reduction in mean pulmonary arterial pressure by a minimal 10% post PADN) was achieved in 94% of all patients, with a mean absolute reduction in systolic pulmonary arterial pressure and mean pulmonary arterial pressure within 24 hours of −10 mm Hg and −7 mm Hg, respectively. The average increment in 6-minute walk distance after PADN was 94 m. Worse PAH-related events occurred in 10 patients (15%), mostly driven by the worsening of PAH (12%). There were 8 (12%) all-cause deaths, with 6 (9%) PAH-related deaths.

Conclusions—PADN was safe and feasible for the treatment of PAH. The PADN procedure was associated with significant improvements in hemodynamic function, exercise capacity, and cardiac function and with less frequent PAH-related events and death at 1 year after PADN treatment. Further randomized studies are required to confirm the efficacy of PADN for PAH.

Clinical Trial Registration—URL: http://www.chictr.org.cn. Unique identifier: chiCTR-ONC-12002085. (Circ Cardiovasc Interv. 2015;8:e002837. DOI: 10.1161/CIRCINTERVENTIONS.115.002837.)
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Pulmonary arterial hypertension (PAH) is a debilitating condition that results in dyspnea and fatigue, impaired exercise capacity, and reduced survival.1 Modest improvements in 6-minute walk distance (6MWD) can be achieved with endothelial-receptor antagonists, phosphodiesterase type-5 inhibitors, and prostacyclin analogs,1–3 although the extent of improvement varies according to the cause of PAH,2 and the effects on morbidity and mortality are less certain.4 Combination therapy with multiple drugs targeting different pathways has been recommended, increasing the likelihood of side effects.5,6
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in 13 patients with IPAH who were unresponsive to standard medica-
tion.9 Since this report, questions have arisen on the pro-
cedural safety, long-term results, and potential for aneurysm and thrombus formation.9 In addition, the effects of PADN on other causes of PAH are unknown. Therefore, we sought to further investigate the hemodynamic, functional, and clinical responses to PADN (stand-alone therapy) in patients with PAH of different causes in this phase II report from the PADN-I study.

Methods
PADN-I Registry and Patient Population
PADN-I phase I began in March 2012 and ended in May 2012 and was a first-in-man study that tested the safety and efficacy of PADN in 13 patients with IPAH who were unresponsive to standard medica-
tion. PADN-I phase II began in April 2012 and ended in April 2014, during which time PADN was performed in 66 consecutive patients with PAH.

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria
Patients with a resting mean PAP (mPAP) ≥25 mm Hg, as measured by right heart catheterization, were included, although they were receiving PAH expert–based standard medical treatment. In patients with pulmonary hypertension (PH) caused by left ventricular (LV) dysfunction, an additional requirement was pulmonary vascular resis-
tance (PVR) ≥3 Wood units. An adenosine vasodilator test was performed during right heart catheterization in all patients. Exclusion criteria included active infection, cancer, toxin- or anorexia-induced PH, portal hypertension, chronic thromboembolic PH without surgical treatment, intolerance to the PADN procedure (caused by the use of an 8-F-long sheath that occludes blood flow in the orifice of the tricuspid and pulmonary valves, resulting in severe dyspnea), and inability to provide consent.

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board and Ethics Committee of the Nanjing First Hospital (Nanjing, China), and all patients provided written informed consent.

Right Heart Catheterization
Resting right atrial (RA) pressure, right ventricular (RV) pressure, PAP, pulmonary artery occlusion pressure (PAOP), and thermodilu-
tion cardiac output were obtained with a 7-F flow-directed Swan-Ganz catheter. PVR ([mPAP–PAOP]/cardiac output) and transpulmonary gradient (mPAP–PAOP) were then derived. All measurements were taken at end expiration. If the PAOP measurement was unreliable, the LV end-diastolic pressure was used rather than the PAOP. Blood samples from the RA, RV, and PA were obtained, and if the oxygen saturation measurements varied by >7% between chambers, further sampling was performed to identify the location of the left-to-right shunt.

PADN Procedure
PA ablation was performed using a dedicated 7-F temperature-sensing ablation catheter. The details of the device and the PADN proce-
dure have been previously described. Briefly, PADN was thereafter performed only in the pericardial area between the distal main trunk and the ostial left branch (points A, B, and C in Figure 1).

The following ablation parameters were programmed at each point: temperature ≥45°C, energy ≤20 W, and time 120 s (for point C) or 240 s (for points A and B). The procedure was interrupted for 10 s if the patient reported severe chest pain. Electrocardiography and hemodynamic function were monitored and recorded continuously throughout the procedure.

Periprocedural Medication
A 5000-U heparin bolus was administered after the insertion of the venous sheath. Additional 2000- to 3000-U heparin boluses were administered if the procedural time was >1 hour. After the proce-
dure, oral warfarin was prescribed and adjusted to an international normalized ratio of 1.5 to 2.5 for all patients. Aspirin (100 mg per day) and clopidogrel (75 mg per day) were indefinitely prescribed in the presence of contraindications for warfarin. Immediately after the PADN procedure, all target drugs (endothelial-receptor antagonists, phosphodiesterase type-5 inhibitors, and prostacyclin analogs) were discontinued. Diuretics were prescribed at a dosage less than or equal to that at baseline. Oxygen therapy was used according to patients' symptoms, as assessed by a physician.

Assessment of Functional Capacity
Functional capacity was determined using a standard 6MWD pro-
tocol before PADN and at 1 week, 1 month, 2 months, 3 months, 6 months, and 1 year after the procedure. Dyspnea was assessed by the Borg scale. The World Health Organization (WHO) classifi-
cation of dyspnea was determined at rest and during exercise was recorded by a physician blinded to the study design. Baseline blood samples were obtained to measure N-terminal pro–brain natriuretic peptide levels before each exercise test.

Echocardiographic Measurements
Transesophageal echocardiography (Vivid 7, General Electric Co, Easton Turnpike, CT) was performed immediately after the proce-
dure and at 24 hours, 1 week, 1 month, 2 months, 3 months, 6 months, and 1 year and was analyzed at the Nanjing Medical University Echocardiographic Laboratory. Digital echocardiographic data that contained a minimum of 3 consecutive beats (or 5 beats in cases of atrial fibrillation) were acquired and stored. RV systolic pressure was set equal to systolic PAP (sPAP) in the absence of pulmonary steno-
sis. sPAP was calculated as the sum of RA pressure and the RV to RA pressure gradient during systole. RA pressure was estimated based on the echocardiographic features of the inferior vena cava and assigned a standard value. The RV to RA pressure gradient was calculated as
Follow-Up
Patients were monitored in the critical care unit for at least 24 hours post procedure. Hemodynamic measurements, 6MWD, and echocardiographic measurements were repeated at 24 hours, 1 month, 2 months, 3 months, 6 months, and 12 months. Clinical follow-up was extended to 1 year. Magnetic resonance imaging and computed tomographic imaging of the pulmonary artery were performed before the PADN procedure and at 6 months.

Study End Points and Definitions
The primary end points were changes in hemodynamic, functional, and clinical responses within the 1-year follow-up. PAH-related clinical events were defined as those caused by worsening of PAH, initiation of treatment with intravenous or subcutaneous prostanooids, lung transplantation, atrial septostomy, or all-cause mortality. Worsening of PAH was defined as the occurrence of all 3 of the following: ≥15% decrease in 6MWD from baseline, confirmed by a second 6MWD performed on a different day within 14 days; worsening PAH symptoms; and the need for additional treatment for PAH. Worsening PAH symptoms were defined as a change from baseline to a higher WHO functional class (or no change in WHO functional class IV from that at baseline) plus the appearance or worsening signs of right heart failure not responsive to oral diuretic therapy. An independent clinical event committee adjudicated all deaths and reported events with respect to their relationship with PAH. Additional secondary end points included changes in 6MWD, WHO functional class, N-terminal pro–brain natriuretic peptide levels, PAH-related or all-cause mortality, echocardiographic measurements, and rehospitalization rates for PAH in the long term. The prespecified definition of PADN procedural hemodynamic success was reduction in mPAP immediately after PADN by ≥10, without the occurrence of any intra-procedural complications.

Statistical Analysis
Continuous variables are expressed as means±SD. Normality was examined using the Kolmogorov–Smirnov and Shapiro–Wilk tests. Data having unequal variances at different times were transferred to log data. Differences in continuous variables between 2 different timing points (baseline versus 6 months or 6 months versus 1 year) were analyzed with paired t tests. Categorical variables between group I, group II, and chronic thromboembolic PH groups or between 2 different timing points were compared by using Fisher exact or McNemar test, as appropriate. Event-free survival rate at 1 year was estimated by using the Kaplan–Meier method. Statistical significance was defined as a 2-sided P value of <0.05. All analyses were performed with SPSS 16.0 (SPSS Institute Inc, Chicago, IL).

Data and Materials Availability
All raw data are available on request. PADN devices are available from Pulmo Co. Ltd (Wuxi, China).

Results

Patient Population
In all, 70 patients with qualifying PAH/PH were screened during the study period. Four patients were excluded: 2 patients with IPAH could not lie flat for 20 minutes, 1 with WHO group II PAH died in the ward after consent while waiting for the procedure, and 1 with WHO group IV PAH died of temporary pacing catheter-induced RV perforation before the PADN procedure. Thus, the study population consisted of 66 patients in whom PADN was attempted.

Cause and Baseline Characteristics
Among the 66 patients, 39 (59.1%) had WHO group I PAH (IPAH in 20 patients; 11 had PH secondary to connective tissue disorders; and 8 had PH secondary to congenital heart disease after surgical repair), 18 (27.3%) had group II PAH (PH secondary to LV dysfunction), and 9 (13.6%) had chronic thromboembolic PH after surgery. Of the 11 connective tissue disorders patients, 7 had systemic lupus erythematosus, 2 had mixed connective tissue disease, and 2 had primary Sjögren syndrome; of the 8 congenital heart disease after surgical repair patients, 5 had an atrial septal defect and 3 had patent ductus arteriosus. Of the patients with group II PAH, 15 had a previous myocardial infarction, and 3 had dilated cardiomyopathy.

Baseline clinical characteristics are shown in Table 1. The average time from diagnosis of PAH to the PADN procedure was 3.8 years. A combination of drugs was required for 89.1% of patients. Most patients (90.9%) had WHO function classes II and III. In all, 55 patients (83.3%) were administered oxygen therapy. In all, 37 (56.1%) had pericardial effusion (Table 2).
Procedural Outcomes and Safety
The median (interquartile range) duration of ablation at 2.8±0.3 sites during the PADN procedure was 22 (11–38) minutes. During the procedure, 47 patients (71.2%) complained of chest pain (including 3 patients [4.5%] with severe chest pain), and 15 patients (22.7%) complained of a vague chest discomfort. All patients tolerated these symptoms without the need for additional sedative or analgesic agents. One patient developed sinus bradycardia from 88 to 43 bpm during the first ablation; the patient recovered after 3 minutes. A second PADN was attempted in 3 patients 2 to 4 days after the first attempt, during which patients were intolerable to dyspnea.

Hemodynamic Responses to the PADN Procedure
As shown in Figure 2A, the mean absolute reduction in mPAP was −5 mmHg (12.8±9.2% reduction) immediately post PADN procedure and −6.6 mmHg (12.2±2.6%) at 24 hours after PADN. Hemodynamic success was achieved in 62 patients (93.9%). On the basis of univariable analysis, the predictors of hemodynamic failure were sPAP (P=0.022), mPAP (P=0.011), and pericardial effusion (P=0.036).

During the 6-month follow-up, there were significant reductions in sPAP, mPAP, diastolic PAP, RA pressure, and RV systolic pressure; and increment in cardiac output (all P<0.05) measured by either echocardiography (Table 2) or right heart catheterization (Table 3) all of which led to a significant decrease in PVR (Table 3; Figure 2B). However, there were no further improvements in values at 1-year follow-up compared with values at the 6-month follow-up (Table 3).

Exercise Capacity, Functional Class, and Cardiac Function
The 6MWD increased by a mean of 94±73 m (Figure 2C). The N-terminal pro–brain natriuretic peptide value decreased from 2221±2881 ng/mL at baseline to 917±947 ng/mL at the 1-year follow-up (P<0.001; Figure 2D; Table 4). During the 6-month follow-up, the WHO functional class improved in 42 patients (63.6%); among 56 patients who survived for 1 year, the mean paired WHO class was 2.7±0.6 at baseline and 1.9±0.7 at the last follow-up (P<0.001).

Table 1. Baseline Clinical Characteristics of All Patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total (n=66)</th>
<th>Group I (n = 39)</th>
<th>Group II (n = 18)</th>
<th>CTEPH (n = 9)</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, y</td>
<td>52±16</td>
<td>44±15</td>
<td>66±11</td>
<td>56±7</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men, n (%)</td>
<td>27 (41)</td>
<td>10 (26)</td>
<td>12 (67)</td>
<td>5 (56)</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart rate, bpm</td>
<td>78±13</td>
<td>80±14</td>
<td>74±13</td>
<td>74±15</td>
<td>0.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time from diagnosis of PAH to PADN, y</td>
<td>3.8±5.2</td>
<td>3.8±5.3</td>
<td>3.9±6.7</td>
<td>3.7±4.6</td>
<td>0.901</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presentation, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syncope</td>
<td>15 (22.7)</td>
<td>11 (28.2)</td>
<td>1 (5.6)</td>
<td>2 (22.2)</td>
<td>0.103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fatigue</td>
<td>61 (92.4)</td>
<td>37 (94.9)</td>
<td>16 (88.9)</td>
<td>8 (88.9)</td>
<td>0.665</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chest pain</td>
<td>12 (18.2)</td>
<td>4 (10.3)</td>
<td>5 (27.8)</td>
<td>3 (33.3)</td>
<td>0.126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyspnea</td>
<td>64 (96.0)</td>
<td>39 (100.0)</td>
<td>17 (94.4)</td>
<td>8 (88.9)</td>
<td>0.165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medication, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium-channel blocker</td>
<td>7 (10.6)</td>
<td>4 (10.3)</td>
<td>2 (11.1)</td>
<td>1 (11.1)</td>
<td>0.994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sildenafil</td>
<td>3 (4.5)</td>
<td>2 (5.1)</td>
<td>2 (11.1)</td>
<td>1 (11.1)</td>
<td>0.342</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bosentan</td>
<td>8 (12.1)</td>
<td>7 (17.9)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>1 (11.1)</td>
<td>0.155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prostacyclin</td>
<td>44 (66.7)</td>
<td>22 (56.4)</td>
<td>16 (88.9)</td>
<td>6 (66.6)</td>
<td>0.054</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diuretics</td>
<td>46 (69.7)</td>
<td>22 (56.4)</td>
<td>17 (94.4)</td>
<td>7 (77.8)</td>
<td>0.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digoxin</td>
<td>21 (31.8)</td>
<td>6 (15.4)</td>
<td>14 (77.8)</td>
<td>1 (11.1)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combination of drugs</td>
<td>59 (89.1)</td>
<td>37 (94.9)</td>
<td>16 (88.9)</td>
<td>6 (66.7)</td>
<td>0.075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxygen</td>
<td>55 (83.3)</td>
<td>35 (89.7)</td>
<td>15 (88.3)</td>
<td>5 (55.6)</td>
<td>0.147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NT-pro BNP, ng/mL</td>
<td>2221±2881</td>
<td>1701±278</td>
<td>3489±1074</td>
<td>1937±664</td>
<td>0.035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6MWD, m</td>
<td>358±118</td>
<td>344±118</td>
<td>397±129</td>
<td>339±81</td>
<td>0.105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Functional class, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>2 (3.0)</td>
<td>1 (2.6)</td>
<td>1 (5.6)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>21 (31.8)</td>
<td>13 (33.3)</td>
<td>8 (44.4)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>39 (59.1)</td>
<td>23 (59.0)</td>
<td>7 (38.9)</td>
<td>9 (100.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>4 (6.0)</td>
<td>2 (5.1)</td>
<td>2 (11.1)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6MWD indicates 6-minute walk distance; BNP, brain natriuretic peptide; CTEPH, chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension; NT, N-terminal; PADN, pulmonary artery denervation; and PAH, pulmonary arterial hypertension.
end-systolic dimension decreased from 31.7±14.4 mm at baseline to 29.4±14.5 mm at the 6-month follow-up (P=0.041). Similar to changes in hemodynamics, no further improvements in right heat size and cardiac function were noted at the 1-year follow-up compared with values at the 6-month follow-up.

During the follow-up, PAH target drugs were prescribed in 9 patients (3 in group I, 5 in group II, and 1 chronic

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2. Echocardiographic Measurements at Baseline and 1 Year After PADN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Baseline (n=66)** | **6 m (n=65)** | **1 y (n=56)** | **PValue**
| Left atrial diameter-s, mm | 40.9±8.8 | 41.5±9.2 | 41.8±8.9 | 0.75
| Left ventricular diameter-d, mm | 45.8±13.1 | 47.4±12.9 | 47.2±11.2 | 0.024
| Left ventricular diameter-s, mm | 31.7±14.4 | 29.4±14.5 | 29.6±14.1 | 0.041
| Left ventricular EF, % | 61.6±13.2 | 63.9±13.2 | 64.8±12.6 | 0.001
| Systolic PAP, mm Hg | 93.3±30.9 | 80.6±25.4 | 79.1±22.7 | <0.001
| Mean PAP, mm Hg | 41.4±12.8 | 36.1±12.8 | 35.7±12.6 | <0.001
| Right atrial pressure, mm Hg | 11.2±2.7 | 8.9±2.5 | 8.2±2.4 | <0.001
| Systolic RVP, mm Hg | 91.5±30.6 | 81.2±26.3 | 78.3±22.4 | <0.001
| Right atrial diameter, mm Long axis | 58.5±11.2 | 55.8±12.1 | 56.4±10.7 | <0.001
| Short axis | 49.4±10.5 | 48.7±11.4 | 49.0±10.0 | 0.39
| Right ventricular diameter, mm | 47.5±10.6 | 45.2±10.3 | 45.0±10.1 | 0.046
| Pericardial effusion, mm† | 3.4±4.5 | 1.5±1.7 | 1.2±1.6 | 0.039
| Any, n (%) | 37 (56.1) | 24 (43.5) | 22 (40.0) | 0.17
| Tei, % | 0.63±0.15 | 0.42±0.17 | 0.39±0.11 | <0.001

EF indicates ejection fraction; PAP, pulmonary arterial pressure; RVP, right ventricular pressure; and Tei, tricuspid excursion index.

*Indicates the comparison of baseline vs 6 month; all variables were nonsignificantly different between 6 mo vs 1 y.
†Data were transferred to log data for analysis.

Figure 2. Dynamic changes in mean pulmonary arterial pressure (A), pulmonary vessel resistance (B), 6-minute walk distance (C), and N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide (NT pro-BNP; D, after transferred to log data) levels. All variables improved significantly at the 6-month follow-up, without any additional significant change between the 6-month and the 1-year follow-up.
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According to patients' expectation because of a slight nonsignificant increase in PVR after 6 months, and these drugs were then stopped after an average of 2.6 months since prescription.

Procedural Safety, Morbidity, and Mortality

There were no PA perforations or other major cardiac or vascular complications. On the magnetic resonance imaging and computed tomography at the 6-month follow-up in 58 patients, no signs of thrombus or aneurysm formation were observed.

The 1-month and 6-month PAH-related event rates were 1.5% and 7.6%, respectively (Table 4). The median (interquartile range) follow-up was 652 (279–1130) days. As shown in Figure 3, PAH-related adverse events occurred in 10 patients during the 1-year follow-up. Of the 8 deaths, 7 patients with group I PAH died after PADN: 1 with IPAH died of septic shock at 87 days, 2 with IPAH died of RV failure at 378 or 406 days, 1 with connective tissue disorders died of cerebral hemorrhage at 7 days, 2 with connective tissue disorders died of RV failure at 392 or 437 days, and 1 with congenital heart disease after surgical repair died of a traffic accident at 206 days. One patient with group II PAH died of RV failure at 433 days after the indexed procedure.

Univariable analysis showed that patients without an event at the 1-year follow-up had a slower resting heart rate (P=0.043), lower PAP values (based on either right heart catheterization or echocardiography), less pericardial effusion (P=0.010), and higher 6MWD values (P=0.041) than the patients with events at the 1-year follow-up.

Discussion

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to report hemodynamic, functional, and clinical responses to PADN in patients with PAH of different causes. The major finding is that the PADN procedure was associated with favorable 1-year outcomes, as evidenced by improvements in WHO functional class, 6MWD, N-terminal pro–brain natriuretic peptide levels, and a low rate of PAH-related events.

Table 3. Right Heart Catheterization Measurements at Baseline and 6-Month and 1-Year Follow-Up

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Baseline (n=66)</th>
<th>6 mo (n=65)</th>
<th>1 y (n=56)</th>
<th>P Value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Systolic PAP, mm Hg</td>
<td>86.6±28.9</td>
<td>71.6±25.8</td>
<td>70.1±27.6</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean PAP, mm Hg</td>
<td>53.1±19.1</td>
<td>44.8±16.4</td>
<td>44.6±17.6</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic PAP, mm Hg</td>
<td>34.8±15.1</td>
<td>29.9±14.2</td>
<td>28.4±13.9</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right atrial pressure, mm Hg</td>
<td>12.4±7.3</td>
<td>10.6±5.8</td>
<td>10.3±5.4</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic RVP, mm Hg</td>
<td>85.1±28.4</td>
<td>75.3±29.7</td>
<td>72.9±29.7</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAOP, mm Hg†</td>
<td>14.7±11.7</td>
<td>12.4±5.3</td>
<td>11.8±5.2</td>
<td>0.075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiac output, L/min</td>
<td>3.3±1.2</td>
<td>4.0±1.1</td>
<td>4.1±1.0</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PVR, Wood units</td>
<td>13.2±6.9</td>
<td>8.4±5.8</td>
<td>8.3±6.6</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PAOP indicates pulmonary occlusion pressure; PAP, pulmonary arterial pressure; PVR, pulmonary vessel resistance; and RVP, right ventricular pressure.

*Indicated the comparison of baseline vs 6 mo; all variables were nonsignificantly different between 6 mo vs 1 y.
†Data were transferred to log data for analysis.

Table 4. Clinical Outcomes at the 1-Year Follow-Up in 66 Patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1 mo</th>
<th>6 mo</th>
<th>12 mo</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PAH-related events, n (%)</td>
<td>1 (1.5)</td>
<td>5 (7.6)</td>
<td>10 (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Death</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (5.6)</td>
<td>6 (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atrial septostomy</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wait listed for lung transplant</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Requiring IV or SQ drugs</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2 (3)</td>
<td>6 (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worsening of PAH</td>
<td>1 (1.5)</td>
<td>2 (3)</td>
<td>6 (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15% decrease in 6MWD</td>
<td>2 (3)</td>
<td>3 (16.7)</td>
<td>8 (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worsening of symptoms</td>
<td>1 (1.5)</td>
<td>2 (3)</td>
<td>6 (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional treatments</td>
<td>1 (1.5)</td>
<td>3 (16.7)</td>
<td>14 (21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All-cause mortality</td>
<td>1 (1.5)</td>
<td>1 (5.6)</td>
<td>8 (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rehospitalization</td>
<td>1 (1.5)</td>
<td>4 (22)</td>
<td>13 (19.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>∆NT pro-BNP, ng/mL</td>
<td>−673±896*</td>
<td>−1189±2551</td>
<td>−1291±2662</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

∆ indicates 6-mo minus baseline; 6MWD, 6-minute walk distance; BNP, brain natriuretic peptide; IQR, interquartile range; IV, intravenous; NT, N terminal; and SQ, subcutaneous.

*Compared with 6 mo (P=0.029) and 1 y (P=0.005) after transferred to log data.
Neurohumoral activation in PAH is evidenced by increased circulating catecholamine levels,13 abnormally high muscle sympathetic nerve activity,13,14 and impaired heart rate variability,13–15 which forms the theoretical basis of using β-blockers16 and PADN7,8 to treat PAH. As a result, the success of PAH therapies has been measured in terms of reduction in PAP and PVR. The hemodynamic response to medication using target drugs within 4 weeks to 6 months varies widely,2,17,18 with a mean reduction in mPAP to <3 mm Hg. Compared with renal denervation, which did not result in immediate reduction in blood pressure,15 PADN results in an immediate reduction in PAP; the current results confirm our previous finding.2,9 Furthermore, we found that post-PADN reductions in sPAP (−8 mm Hg) and mPAP (−5 mm Hg) were sustained throughout the follow-up period. This implies that PADN might be beneficial for a wide variety of PAH causes. Notably, we also found no further reduction in PAP or further improvement in 6MWD after the 6-month follow-up, which might indicate that significant improvement in PA remodeling20 was achieved within 6 months after PADN treatment; however, this is not supported by extensive evidence. Repeated PADN combined with target drugs is postulated to provide more beneficial in patients with PAH.

The predictors of nonresponsiveness after medical treatment in patients with PAH have been poorly characterized. In our study, the nonresponder rate was 6.1% (n=4). Furthermore, we found that sPAP, mPAP, and pericardial effusion correlated with PADN procedure failure. An excessive increase in PAP reflects the severity of PAH.1 Pericardial fluid accumulation results in diastolic dysfunction because of impaired relaxation.15 In the 4 nonresponders, a gradual reduction in PAP was noted after the absorption of pericardial effusion for 3 months, suggesting an important role of pericardial effusion in treating PAH.7,15 However, given the small number of patients who did not respond to PADN in this study, additional data are required to determine the correlation of procedural outcomes with this novel approach to PAH.

Improvement in 6MWD has been used as the primary end point in most of the previous studies on PAH therapeutics, and a 15% reduction in 6MWD is one criterion of PAH worsening.1,6 Previous studies have reported a mean increase of +34 m in the 6MWD at a median 14.5-week follow-up,1–6,22 which is lower than that in our study (+94 m). In addition to improvements in hemodynamic function, WHO functional class, and cardiac function, our results demonstrated that PADN resulted in improvement in PA remodeling and exercise capacity. Importantly, there was no additional increase in 6MWD after the 6-month follow-up, as already discussed. Nonetheless, obtaining similar results from other centers and results from randomized trials may further support that patients with PH could benefit from PADN.

Clinical end points should be the primary goal of such studies,1–6,18 as reflected in a recent trial.21 However, the rate of PAH-related events after medication has not been clearly reported. The most recent study21 on the use of macitentan in group I PAH patients reported that the 6-month PAH-related event and all-cause mortality rates were 34.7% and 16.6%, respectively, significantly higher than those (12.8% and 5.1%, respectively) at 6 months after PADN. In this study, the 1-year Kaplan–Meier–estimated PAH-related event and mortality rates after PADN were 15.0% and 12.1%, still lower than those in previous trials, despite the enrollment of a high-risk cohort that was nonresponsive to numerous pharmacological agents. However, a randomized trial will ultimately be required to determine whether PADN provides meaningful clinical benefit to patients with group I PAH.

The innervation of the pulmonary artery is predominantly sympathetic22; the PA receives innervation from the right cardiac recurrent nerve, with branches forming an adventitial nerve plexus.22,23 The innervation starts at the bundles of large nerve trunks that diminish in size such that at the level of the arterioles, there is only a single fiber,2 a finding that reflects the methodology underlying PADN that involves ablation at 3 points around the distal main PA level in our consecutive patients.

Since the first report on surgical PA denervation by Juratsch et al.1 in 1980, PADN was confirmed in our study7 and in the study by Rothman et al.20 Given the negative results after renal denervation for resistant hypertension, 1 merit of PADN was the immediate reduction in PAP after the procedure, which was sustained throughout the follow-up period. Furthermore, all PAH target drugs were discontinued after PADN because no improvement was observed in this patient population, and our results showed the net effects of PADN on the end points. Unfortunately, given the lack of a control group, any benefit observed in this study may be negated in larger randomized controlled, adequately powered trials.

Risk models for patients with PAH treated with medications have been established previously.24–27 A high on-treatment sPAP,25 elevated RA pressure,25 pericardial effusion,26 and resting heart rate cutoffs of 80 to 82 bpm for patients with IPAH27 are independently associated with increased mortality. Because of the small number
of patients and only 10 PAH-related events in our report, the results of the univariable analysis showed that disease severity predicted the likelihood of increased rate of adverse events after PADN.

Limitations
This study was a nonrandomized and open-label study that may have a possible bias; the study also lacked a control group. Nonetheless, the favorable clinical outcomes after PADN are supported by objective improvements in PAPs, exercise capacity, and clinical events. Second, the small number of patients precluded detailed analysis of whether there were differential responses to PADN based on the PAH mechanism. Finally, all the PADN procedures were performed by 1 surgeon; nonetheless, our results should be interpreted with caution.

Conclusions
This study demonstrated that in a high-risk patient population, PADN was safe and effective in reducing PAP and improving 6MWD and was associated with favorable clinical outcomes throughout the 1-year follow-up. Multicenter randomized trials are warranted to determine the use of PADN in patients with PAH.
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