Peripheral Vascular Disease

Wire-Interwoven Nitinol Stent Outcome in the Superficial Femoral and Proximal Popliteal Arteries

Twelve-Month Results of the SUPERB Trial
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Background—Stent-based therapy in the superficial femoral and popliteal arteries in patients with peripheral artery disease is compromised by restenosis and risk of stent fracture or distortion. A novel self-expanding nitinol stent was developed that incorporates an interwoven-wire design (Supera stent, IDEV Technologies, Inc, Webster, TX) to confer greater radial strength, flexibility, and fracture resistance.

Methods and Results—This prospective, multicenter, investigational device exemption, single-arm trial enrolled 264 patients with symptomatic peripheral artery disease undergoing percutaneous treatment of de novo or restenotic lesions of the superficial femoral or proximal popliteal (femoropopliteal) artery. Freedom from death, target lesion revascularization, or any amputation of the index limb at 30 days (+7 days) postprocedure was achieved in 99.2% (258/260) of patients (P<0.001). Primary patency at 12 months (360±30 days) was achieved in 78.9% (180/228) of the population (P<0.001). Primary patency by Kaplan–Meier analysis at 12 months (360 days) was 86.3%. No stent fracture was observed by independent core laboratory analysis in the 243 stents (228 patients) evaluated at 12 months. Clinical assessment at 12 months demonstrated improvement by at least 1 Rutherford–Becker category in 88.7% of patients.

Conclusions—The SUPERB Trial, an investigational device exemption study using an interwoven nitinol wire stent in the femoropopliteal artery, achieved the efficacy and safety performance goals predesignated by the Food and Drug Administration. On the basis of the high primary patency rate, absence of stent fracture, and significant improvements in functional and quality-of-life measures, the Supera stent provides safe and effective treatment of femoropopliteal lesions in symptomatic patients with peripheral artery disease.

Clinical Trial Registration—URL: http://www.clinicaltrials.gov. Unique identifier: NCT00933270.

(Circ Cardiovasc Interv. 2015;8:e000937. DOI: 10.1161/CIRCINTERVENTIONS.113.000937.)
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Peripheral artery disease (PAD) is common, affecting between 8 and 12 million US residents. Treatment strategies are well defined for aortoiliac vessels, yet the initial success and durability of endovascular therapy in the femoropopliteal artery is limited by the diffuse nature of the disease, presence of calcification, heavy plaque burden, and high prevalence of total occlusion. Furthermore, dynamic forces (compression, torsion, bending, lengthening,
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WHAT IS KNOWN

- In patients with peripheral artery disease, the superficial femoral and popliteal (femoropopliteal) arteries are challenging vessels in which to achieve both initial success and durable patency.
- Nitinol stents of the slotted tube configuration have demonstrated enhanced outcome over balloon angioplasty alone, but may be prone to distortion, fracture, and restenosis because of the unique forces in the femoropopliteal arteries.

WHAT THE STUDY ADDS

- The Supera stent, a novel stent designed with interwoven braided nitinol wires, demonstrates excellent initial outcomes and durable patency in the femoropopliteal arteries, with no fractures seen at follow-up.
- The Supera stent has biomimetic properties that confer higher radial strength, while enabling the stent to flex, bend, and otherwise move with the vessel so as to distribute the stress more evenly.
- The Supera stent requires careful preparation of the target vessel and proper selection of stent size, and must be deployed correctly to optimize outcome.

and shortening) found within the femoropopliteal artery impose stress on any endoprosthesis, potentially causing kinking, compression, fracture, and accelerated restenosis.

Nitinol has superelasticity and thermal shape memory, making nitinol stents preferred for use in flexible sites within the peripheral vasculature. The Sirolimus Coated Cordis S.M.A.R.T. Nitinol Self-Expandable Stent for the Treatment of Obstructive Superficial Femoral Artery Disease (SIROCCO II; S.M.A.R.T. drug eluting stent and bare-metal stent),4,5 Femoral Artery Stenting Trial (FAST; Luminexx),4 Femoral Artery Conformaxx Trial (FACT; Conformaxx),9 Vienna Absolute Trial: Balloon Angioplasty Versus Stenting in the Superficial Femoral Artery (ABSOLUTE; Dynalink or Absolute),10 Zilver PTX Global Registry11 and Evaluation of the Zilver PTX Drug-Eluting Stent in the Above-the-Knee Femoropopliteal Artery12 (Zilver PTX drug-coated and bare-metal stents), Edwards Lifesiences Self-Expanding Stent Peripheral Vascular Disease Study (RESILIENT; LifeStent FlexStar and FlexStar XL),13 and DURABILITY+: A Prospective, Multi-Center, Controlled Study With the Everflex+ Stent in SFA Lesions (DURABILITY I; PROTÉGÉ Everflex)14 trials have all shown favorable results when comparing primary stenting to either percutaneous transluminal angioplasty with provisional stenting, or to a predefined performance goal. However, restenosis remains a major limitation of these devices.15,16 Stent fracture may also negatively affect outcomes.7,8,10,17

Kinking and fractures tend to occur at sites of repeated stress, and neointimal hyperplasia and restenosis may occur where the stent forces are greatest.18,19 These forces are not distributed evenly across the slotted-tube stent; as a result, stress is not effectively dissipated within the stent mesh network. Native arteries contain (within the vessel wall) a reticular network of interconnected collagen and elastin fibers that dissipates radial, axial, and torsional forces and prevents the creation of focal areas of high wall stress.20 The Supera biomimetic vascular stent incorporates a wire-interwoven design that partially mimics the reticular structure of native collagen and elastin within vessels, emphasizing radial strength, flexibility, and kink resistance.

We prospectively compared the performance of the Supera stent to a previously reported objective performance goal (OPG) of percutaneous transluminal angioplasty,21 to establish superiority for treatment of the femoropopliteal artery. This trial was conducted under a Food and Drug Administration-approved investigational device exemption clinical protocol in support of a premarket approval.

Methods

Trial Design, End Points, and Sample Size

The SUPERB trial was a multicenter, prospective, single-arm, pivotal investigational device exemption trial of symptomatic patients with de novo or restenotic lesions in the superficial femoral artery (SFA) or proximal popliteal artery. Patients were scheduled to receive the Supera stent (IDEV Technologies, Inc) and undergo evaluation at 1, 6, and 12 months after the implant procedure. The trial protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board associated with each participating center. All patients provided written informed consent. This trial was registered with clinicaltrials.gov.

The primary safety end point was the composite rate of freedom from death from any cause, target lesion revascularization (TLR), or any amputation of the index limb within 30 days (+7 days) after stent implantation. The primary efficacy end point was patency of the stent at 12 months, defined as freedom from restenosis (diameter stenosis >50%, as identified by a peak systolic velocity ratio >2.0 measured by duplex ultrasonography) and freedom from TLR.

The primary safety objective was to establish superiority of Supera compared with an OPG of 88% that was established by VIVA Physicians (VPI).23 On the basis of the meta-analysis of 116 premarket approval subjects performed by VPI, the estimated proportion of the composite rate was 0.94, with lower 95% confidence limit (CL): 0.88 (as defined by the Agresti and Coull method). To demonstrate superiority with the Supera stent, the 1-sided lower 95% CL of the primary safety end point had to be >88%.

The primary effectiveness objective was to establish superiority of the Supera to an OPG of 66% established by VPI. This performance goal was based on an analysis performed by VPI demonstrating 12-month SFA patency of 33% after percutaneous transluminal angioplasty alone. The Food and Drug Administration–approved performance goal for nitinol stents was set to be twice that of the percutaneous transluminal angioplasty patency rate, or 66%. To demonstrate superiority with the Supera stent, the 1-sided lower 95% CL of the patency rate at 12 months after Supera implantation had to be >66%.

All other protocol-defined end points are listed in the results section and included the rate of device success, defined as achievement of a residual diameter stenosis of <50% by postprocedure quantitative angiography after treatment using the trial stent. The secondary safety end point of major adverse vascular events within 30 days postprocedure was defined as a composite of stent thrombosis, target limb amputation, clinically apparent distal embolization, procedure-related arterial rupture, acute limb ischemia, or bleeding event requiring transfusion.

On the basis of an expected incidence of 94% for the primary safety end point and 76% for the primary efficacy end point, a sample size of 232 patients provided 93% power (safety end point) and 96% power (efficacy end point) in the 1-sided $\chi^2$ test (5% significance
Device Description

The Supera stent is comprised of 6 closed-end interwoven nitinol wires. The delivery system was 7 Fr (0.100 inch minimum) and 0.014" or 0.018" guidewire compatible. Multiple stent lengths (40, 60, 80, 100, 120, and 150 mm) and diameters (4.0, 5.0, and 6.0 mm) were available.

Core Laboratory Oversight and Adverse Event Evaluation

All angiographic images were independently reviewed by the Angiographic Core Laboratory, (Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Boston, MA). Duplex ultrasonographic and radiographic evaluations (including spectral and color Doppler, biplane angiography, and intravascular ultrasound) were performed at baseline and at months 1, 6, and 12. ABI or toe-brachial index and Rutherford–Becker category were evaluated at baseline and at months 6 and 12. Ulceration and complaints of claudication were assessed at baseline, 6 months and 12 months using the Medical Outcomes Study 12-Item Short form survey (SF-12) and the Peripheral Artery Questionnaire, a validated quality-of-life instrument developed specifically for patients with PAD.

Trial Procedures

Quantitative angiography was performed to document anatomic eligibility, percent diameter stenosis, and stent placement success. Duplex ultrasonography was performed within 30 to 37 days postprocedure, and at months 6 and 12. ABI or toe-brachial index and Rutherford–Becker category were evaluated at baseline and at months 1, 6, and 12. High-resolution digital radiographic images of the stent (antero-posterior and lateral views in both straight leg and flexed knee positions) were obtained at 12 months to assess for stent fracture. Patient-reported symptoms, functional status, and health-related quality of life were assessed at baseline, 6 months and 12 months using the Medical Outcomes Study 12-Item Short form survey (SF-12) and the Peripheral Artery Questionnaire, a validated quality-of-life questionnaire developed specifically for patients with PAD.
images were independently reviewed by VasCore (Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA). Core laboratory assessment of vessel patency, residual stenosis, and stent fracture status superseded site investigator measurement for data analysis purposes. All technologists performing duplex ultrasonograms were qualified by VasCore before their participation in the trial.

An independent Clinical Events Committee (Harvard Clinical Research Institute, Boston, MA) adjudicated all serious adverse events (deaths, clinical trial end points, and reports of device failure). Safety data and risks to patients were monitored by an independent data safety monitoring board at prespecified enrollment targets.

Data Analysis and Statistical Methods
The trial results were analyzed by an independent data coordinating and analysis center (Harvard Clinical Research Institute), which had access to the primary data. As prespecified in the study protocol, the primary and safety end points were based on the intent-to-treat patient population and were compared with the VPI OPG’s. Primary patency, defined as freedom from restenosis and TLR, is reported using 2 distinct methodologies: a Kaplan–Meir survival analysis at 12 months (360 days) and the VPI OPG at 12 months (360±30 days). Restenosis was defined as diameter stenosis >50% with a peak systolic velocity ratio ≥2.0 as measured by duplex ultrasonography.

Protocol-defined secondary event-driven end points are presented in the results section with mean, SD, and 95% confidence interval, computed using the normal approximation. Additional statistical inference tests were performed on the primary safety end point and the primary efficacy end point using the $\chi^2$ comparing the 95% 1-sided Wilson confidence interval to the respective performance goal. For continuous efficacy variables, changes from baseline were tested using the nonparametric Friedman test.

Results
Patient and Lesion Characteristics at Baseline
Between July 30, 2009 and May 20, 2011, a total of 325 patients were enrolled at 46 trial centers in the United States. Investigators who were less familiar with the novel stent and delivery system and stent were required to enroll ≤2 roll-in patients. Data obtained from the 61 roll-in subjects were analyzed independently of the main cohort for both safety and efficacy; the remaining 264 patients formed the basis of the primary intent-to-treat analysis. Of the 264 patients, 260 had valid information at day 30 (+7 days) to evaluate the primary safety end point. By day 360 (visit window±30 days), 243 patients (92.0%) remained in the trial, while 21 exited prematurely (7 died, 3 lost to follow-up, 10 withdrew consent, and 1 withdrew because of metastatic cancer).

Mean (±SD) age was 68.7 (±10.0) years; 63.6% were males. Clinical characteristics of the cohort were typical of symptomatic patients with PAD (Table 1). The majority of patients (57.2%) were Rutherford–Becker category 3. Two hundred sixty-five target segments were treated. Mean (±SD) target lesion length measurements preprocedure by site and angiographic core laboratory were 82.8 (±33.0) and 78.1 (±42.8) mm, respectively. Reported baseline angiographic mean preprocedure percent diameter stenosis was 78.0% (±16.8%; Table 2). Total occlusions were present in 25.0% (66/264) of patients.

Stent Implantation Procedure
Stent diameter was selected to match the nominal vessel diameter in a 1:1 fashion. Stent placement was preceded by predilation of the target site, with a balloon sized to approximate the outer diameter of the selected stent. Postdeployment balloon dilatation was recommended at sites of incomplete stent expansion.

Device implantation was graded as successful by the Clinical Events Committee in 257 of 261 patients (98.5%). Of the 4 patients in whom implantation was deemed unsuccessful, 3 received a different stent after the failed attempt to deliver the Supera stent, and 1 patient had an additional stent implanted during the index procedure to treat a flow-limiting dissection at the distal end of the lesion. In addition, 18 subjects had >1 stent implanted to cover the length of the target lesion.
Of 280 stents implanted, the majority (69.3%) had a diameter of 5 mm. Angiographic core laboratory analysis demonstrated mean-delivered stent length of 115.3 (±48.07) mm; no spasm, abrupt vessel closure, or no-reflow was noted.

Event-Driven Safety and Efficacy End Points
Freedom from death, TLR or any amputation of the index limb 30 days (±7 days) after stent implantation was achieved in 99.2% (258/260) of patients (1-sided lower 95% CL of 97.7%), significantly greater than the safety performance goal of 88% ($P<0.001$).

Stent patency, calculated as a simple fraction at 390 days, was achieved in 78.9% (180/228) of the cohort (1-sided lower 95% Wilson Score CL of 74.2%), also significantly higher than the efficacy performance goal of 66% ($P<0.001$). Using Kaplan–Meier survival estimates, the more traditional method of calculating patency rate, 86.3% (±2.3 SE) of patients were free from loss of patency at 365 days (Figure). Other protocol-defined secondary safety and efficacy end points are summarized in Table 3.
Three of 260 patients (1.2%) suffered major adverse vascular events within 30 days of the procedure. Two occurred on the day of the procedure and were successfully treated. One patient developed acute limb ischemia poststent placement in the distal SFA. Subsequent angiography revealed distal embolization of atherothrombotic material with occlusion of the tibial peroneal trunk. Another patient developed a hemorrhagic complication after accidentally sitting up with the contralateral sheath still in place. Subsequent angiography demonstrated acute thrombotic occlusion of the severely diseased contralateral SFA. The third patient developed subacute stent thrombosis 27 days postprocedure, requiring balloon angioplasty.

**Rutherford Category and ABI**

Rutherford–Becker category improved by >1 level over baseline in 88.7% of patients and by 3 levels in 53.5% of patients at 12 months (Tables 4–6). Mean (±SD) ABI in the target limb rose from 0.73 (±0.18) at baseline to 0.92 (±0.22) at 12 months (P<0.001; Tables 4–6).

**Quality of Life**

Baseline quality-of-life measures related to physical health, PAD symptoms, and PAD-related physical limitation were all below the US population average21 (Tables 4–6). At 6 and 12 months, SF-12 physical score and Peripheral Artery Questionnaire scales improved significantly (P<0.001 for all comparisons except treatment satisfaction, which received a high rating initially and throughout). Mean SF-12 physical score at 12 months increased from baseline by ≈8 points, >3x the minimum threshold considered to be clinically meaningful.24,25 Evaluation at 12 months demonstrated 13 to 35 point improvement in all Peripheral Artery Questionnaire scales; overall summary score increased 32 points, 4x the threshold considered to be clinically important.26

**Discussion**

In this trial of 264 symptomatic patients with PAD treated prospectively with the Supera stent, both the safety and efficacy end points exceeded the OPGs, as assessed by independent core laboratories. This was corroborated by clinically significant improvements in Rutherford–Becker category, ABI, and quality-of-life domains related to symptoms and physical function. Of 243 stents in 228 patients evaluated with rigorous radiographic follow-up, none (0/243) had evidence of fracture. These results are consistent with those reported in 2 published registry analyses of the Supera stent in the SFA27 and popliteal artery,28 which demonstrated 12-month patency rates of 85% and 88%, respectively, and no stent fractures identified.

Supera demonstrated a 12-month primary patency rate of 86.3% by Kaplan–Meier survival analysis in this femoropopliteal investigational device exemption trial. This study does not allow for direct comparison with other stents; however, primary patency reported in the present trial is similar or superior to that reported in other trials in the femoropopliteal artery. The Zilver PTX drug-coated nitinol stent reported 12-month primary patency rate (Kaplan–Meier estimates) of 83.1% in 241 patients, with 31% shorter lesion length (5.4 cm compared with 7.8 cm for SUPERB).14 In 3 other clinical trials of self-expanding nitinol slotted-tube stents, reported primary patency rates (Kaplan–Meier estimates) were: 81.7% (S.M.A.R.T. Nitinol Self-Expandable Stent in the Treatment of Obstructive Superficial Femoral Artery Disease [STROLL] trial)29; 81.3% (LifeStent FlexStar and FlexStar XL RESILIENT trial)30; and 77.2% (Safety and Effectiveness Study of EverFlex Stent to Treat Symptomatic Femoral-Popliteal Atherosclerosis [DURABILITY II] trial).31 The reported 12-month fracture rates were 0.9% for the drug-coated Zilver PTX stent trial, and 1.8%, 3.1%, and 0.4% in the 3 nitinol stent studies, respectively. The Supera stent is constructed differently than slotted-tube stents; it contains nitinol wires that are interwoven to confer additional radial strength, flexibility, and fracture-resistance. Its design also differs from previous interwoven-wire stents: Supera’s 6 wires form a closed loop at both ends of the stent;

### Table 4. Change in Rutherford Classification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rutherford Becker Category</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>1 Mo</th>
<th>12 Mo</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>+3 Grades, markedly improved</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>68.0% (176/259)</td>
<td>53.5% (123/230)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+2 Grades, moderately improved</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>23.2% (60/259)</td>
<td>24.3% (56/230)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+1 Grade, minimally improved</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>6.2% (16/259)</td>
<td>10.9% (25/230)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 No change</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>2.7% (7/259)</td>
<td>8.7% (20/230)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>−1 Grade, mildly worse</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.0% (0/259)</td>
<td>1.3% (3/230)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>−2 Grades, moderately worse</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.0% (0/259)</td>
<td>0.9% (2/230)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>−3 Grades, markedly worse</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.0% (0/259)</td>
<td>0.4% (1/230)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limb ischemia improvement &gt;1 grade</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>97.3% (252/259)</td>
<td>88.7% (204/230)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Continuous variables are reported as mean±SD (n). Ordinal variables are reported as % (proportion).

### Table 5. Change in ABI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ABI/TBI Measurements</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>6 Mo</th>
<th>12 Mo</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ABI on target limb</td>
<td>0.73±0.18 (257)</td>
<td>0.92±0.22 (226)*</td>
<td>0.92±0.22 (227)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBI on target limb</td>
<td>0.38±0.32 (60)</td>
<td>0.48±0.29 (56)*</td>
<td>0.44±0.31 (60)*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Continuous variables are reported as mean±SD (n). ABI indicates ankle-brachial index; and TBI, toe-brachial index.

*P<0.001 for difference from baseline to month 1, 6, or 12 using the nonparametric Friedman test.
it has a different braiding design (number of wire crossings per inch, braiding angle); and it is nitinol rather than stainless steel. The biomimetic design, which allows the stent to flex, twist, and otherwise mimic the motion of the vessel in which it is placed, make it particularly well-suited for the femoropopliteal artery.

**Limitations**

The biomimetic design of the Supera stent, while conferring certain advantages in terms of flexibility, conformability, and radial strength, is also associated with certain liabilities if the stent is not deployed properly. Optimal deployment of the Supera stent is critically dependent on accurate assessment of vessel diameter and vessel preparation. If the vessel is smaller in caliber than the selected stent size, or not adequately prepared with predilation to the same diameter as the outer dimension of the stent, the Supera may elongate during deployment. Such elongation may be associated with loss of both radial strength and precision in placement. For this reason, precise localization of the stent at the ostium of the SFA is not reliable in this study and this site was excluded. Proper stent sizing and predilation allow the stent to assume its nominal diameter, and thus enabling more precise positioning even at bifurcations. There is an operator learning curve associated with use of Supera. Both the learning curve and precision in placement will be enhanced with newer iterations of Supera.

Another limitation of the present trial is the single-arm nonrandomized design, making it more susceptible to bias than a randomized controlled trial. However, single-arm trial designs are considered acceptable for new device evaluation when the expected outcomes are well-understood based on patient characteristics, similar inclusion characteristics of the trial population, and minimal difference between-trial variation. The SUPERB trial was conducted using an Food and Drug Administration–sanctioned OPG, based on published data from previous trials. Patient characteristics in the current trial were consistent with those used to create this OPG.

**Summary**

The SUPERB trial evaluated the primary treatment of the SFA and proximal popliteal artery with a flexible, self-expanding interwoven nitinol wire stent for moderate-length lesions. The primary efficacy end point was achieved, with a primary patency rate of 86.3% at 360 days through Kaplan–Meier analysis. Secondary end points also demonstrated significant improvement. The rate of stent fracture was zero. The Supera stent provides excellent stent integrity and efficacy when compared with other nitinol stents currently available. Long-term efficacy and safety will continue to be assessed through 3 years follow-up.
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